1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 JESSICA BARRAZA, 10 Petitioner, 2:14-cv-01185-APG-PAL 11 VS. **ORDER** 12 J. GENTRY, et al., 13 Respondents. 14 15 16 In this habeas corpus action, the respondents have filed an answer (ECF No. 19), and the 17 petitioner, Jessica Barraza, who is represented by counsel, has filed a reply (ECF No. 39). Also, 18 respondents have filed 130 exhibits, constituting the state-court record (ECF Nos. 11, 26-32, 34-36). 19 The Court has examined the parties' pleadings, and the exhibits filed by respondents, and 20 determines that further expansion of the record is necessary. 21 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that, pursuant to Rule 7 of the Rules Governing Section 22 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, respondents shall, by July 10, 2017, this order, file 23 as an exhibit in this case a copy of the video recording of Barraza's statement to the police, which 24 appears to have been admitted into evidence at her trial as State's Exhibit 32. See Transcript of 25 Trial, August 22, 2007, Exhibit 71, pp. 115-16 (ECF No. 30-1, pp. 116-17). If respondents are 26 unable to file a copy of that exhibit, respondents may file a notice to that effect along with a copy of

the transcript of Barraza's statement to the police, if that is available. If respondents are unable to file a copy of either the video recording or transcript of Barraza's statement to the police, or if respondents believe that a copy of that either has already been filed in this federal habeas corpus action, respondents should file a notice to such effect. After respondents expand the record as ordered, Barraza will have 10 days to file a response regarding the correctness of the exhibit, or responding to any notice filed by the respondents, if she deems it necessary to do so. *See* Rule 7(c) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.

Dated this 19th day of June, 2017.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE